



## **CONFIDENTIALITY AND ANONYMITY STRENGTHENING IN COMPUTATIONAL SERVICES**

**Akheel Mohammed<sup>1</sup>, Sajjad Ahmed Md<sup>2</sup>, Ayesha<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Dept of CSE, VIF College of Engg & Tech, Hyderabad, India

<sup>2</sup>M.Tech Student, Dept of CSE, VIF College of Engg & Tech, Hyderabad, India

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept of CSE, VIF College of Engg & Tech, Hyderabad, India

### **ABSTRACT:**

In a variety of application domains such as patient medical records, social networking, electronic voting, business and personal applications researchers have also examined the significance of anonymity. The secure sum allowing parties to work out the sum of their individual inputs devoid of disclosing the inputs to each another helps to differentiate the complications of the secure multiparty computation. For assigning identifiers to the nodes of a network, efficient algorithms are dealt in a way such that the identifiers are anonymous using a distributed computation devoid of central authority. An algorithm was presented for sharing simple integer information on top of secure sum and it is used by the algorithm at all iterations for anonymous ID assignment. To allocate the nodes identifiers, numbers ranging from 1 to N, was applied in the technique and the identities received are unidentified to the other members of the group and in that instance this assignment is anonymous. When private communication channels are used, resistance towards the collusion among other members is confirmed in an information theoretic sense.

***Keywords: Anonymity, Identifiers, Central authority, Anonymous ID assignment, Private communication.***

## 1. INTRODUCTION:

For the most part of personal and business applications are depending on anonymous communication. Towards anonymously confining the activities of the visitors' web, cloud-based website management tools make available capabilities for a server. In a variety of application domains such as patient medical records, social networking and electronic voting etc, researchers have also examined the significance of anonymity [4]. The data assumed by each party remains unidentified to the other parties, and allows multiple parties to jointly carry out a global computation depending on data from each party is observed in anonymity of a secure multiparty computation. The secure sum allowing parties to work out the sum of their individual inputs devoid of disclosing the inputs to each another helps to differentiate the complications of the secure multiparty computation [7] [13]. For assigning identifiers to the nodes of a network, efficient algorithms are dealt in a way such that the identifiers are anonymous using a distributed computation devoid of central authority. Based on the method intended for anonymously sharing simple data is our algorithm depends and outcomes in techniques intended for well-organized

sharing of intricate data [1]. An algorithm was presented for sharing simple integer information on top of secure sum and it is used by the algorithm at all iterations for anonymous ID assignment [6] [11]. A group of hospitals desire to share the average of data items by means of individual databases. Nodes contain data items and workout and allocate only the total value. Along with some assurances of anonymity, a secure sum algorithm permits the sum to be collected. To allocate the nodes identifiers, numbers ranging from 1 to N, was applied in the technique and the identities received are unidentified to the other members of the group and in that this assignment is anonymous [2]. The semi-honest model of privacy preserving data mining was assumed, in which each node will go after the rules of the protocol, however may possibly use the information it spots during the implementation of the protocol to compromise security [5].

## 2. METHODOLOGY:

Secure Sum Algorithm: Given nodes  $m_1, \dots, m_N$  each holding a data item  $t_i$  from a finitely represent able abelian group, allocate the value  $K = \sum t_i$  between the nodes without revealing the values  $t_i$ . Each node  $m_i$ ,  $i = 1 \dots N$  selects random values

$s_{i,1}, \dots, s_{i,N}$  such that  $s_{i,1} + \dots + s_{i,N} = t_i$ . Each random value  $s_{i,j}$  is conveyed from node  $m_i$  to node  $m_j$ . The sum of all these random numbers  $s_{i,j}$ , is the desired total  $K$ . Each node  $m_j$  totals all the random values received as  $v_j = s_{1,j} + \dots + s_{N,j}$ . Each node  $m_i$  merely broadcasts  $v_i$  to all other nodes so that each node can compute:  $K = v_1 + \dots + v_N$ . In the given fig1, the initial data items held by nodes  $m_1, m_2, m_3$  and  $m_4$  are  $t_1=6, t_2= 8, t_3=6, t_4=2$  correspondingly. Node  $m_2$  would transmit 6, 2, -4, and 4 to nodes  $m_1, m_2, m_3$  and  $m_4$  respectively. Node  $m_2$  would receive -9, 2, 10, and -7 from nodes  $m_1, m_2, m_3$  and  $m_4$  respectively. Then node  $m_2$  would work out and broadcast the total  $v_2 = -4$  of the values received to all nodes. Finally,  $m_2$  would compute the total of all the second round transmissions received  $22 = 16 + (-4) + 8 + 2$ .

A SECURE SUM EXECUTION TRANSMITTING THE RANDOM NUMBERS					
Nodes	$s_{i,1}$	$s_{i,2}$	$s_{i,3}$	$s_{i,4}$	$t_i$
$m_i=1$	12	-9	5	-2	6
$m_i=2$	6	2	-4	4	8
$m_i=3$	-7	10	11	-8	6
$m_i=4$	5	-7	-4	8	2
$v_i=$	16	-4	8	2	$K=22$

**Fig1: An overview of secure sum execution transmitting the random numbers.**

The opportunity that more complex data is to be shared among the participating nodes is to be considered. Each node  $m_i$  has a data item  $t_i$  of length  $u$ -bits which it wishes to put together it public anonymously to other participants. As the number of nodes and the bits per data item becomes larger, to accomplish this sharing, an indexing of the nodes was utilized as an alternative [8]. Considering that each node  $m_i$  has a unique identification number  $v_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and additionally, assume that no node has knowledge of the unique identification number  $v_i$  of any other node, and that  $v_1, \dots, v_N$  are a random permutation of  $1, \dots, N$ , and is termed an Anonymous ID Assignment and may possibly be used to allocate slots with reverence to time or space for storage or communications. It may be promising to minimally have a database by means of central storage locations  $E_i$  such that each node merely stores its data there setting  $E_{v_i} := t_i$ . A simple algorithm was presented for finding an AIDA which has quite a few variants depending on the selection of the data sharing method. Random integers or slots between 1 and  $P$  are chosen by each node at one step [3] [9]. The position of the node will be determined by means of its position among the selected

slots; however provisions must be prepared for collisions. The parameter should be selected so that  $P \geq N$ . The technique of data sharing of Anonymous Data Sharing with Power Sums is challenging to the collusion of any subset of the participating nodes while based on the secure sum Algorithm [10]. For the reason that the input data is present as a multi set in the output of each party and all parties are semi-honest the consequence is implied by the secure sum Algorithm [12]. The data sharing is anonymous in the intellect that the sources of the data items cannot be traced. Certainly, it is potential that a given data value would make logic only for a definite participant due to several factors such as the participants relative sizes.

### 3. RESULTS:

The algorithm to find an AIDA requires the random numbers be shared anonymously and we consider three methods which are variants of that procedure and require the parameter  $P$  in each case. The expected numbers of rounds rely simply on the selection of  $P$  and not on the variant selected. In the slot selection method the variant of the algorithm has its main negative aspect as the very long message

lengths that are encountered while using large  $P$  to maintain the number of expected rounds small. In the Prime Modulus AIDA: A prime  $R > P$  is chosen. In general,  $R$  will be chosen as small as potential subject to this restriction. This variant will be seen to outcome in shorter message lengths intended for communication among nodes. Again, the computation necessary to find the roots of the Newton polynomial can be delayed and consequently overlaps any supplementary required rounds. It is probable to keep away from solution of the Newton polynomial completely. Sturm's theorem permits the determination of the number of roots of a real polynomial  $q(x)$  in an interval  $(g, h)$  based on the signs of the values of a sequence of polynomials derived from  $q(x)$ . The succession of polynomials is attained from a variant of the Euclidean Algorithm. By means of Sturm's theorem is not at present reasonable with the variety of methods of polynomial solution using the prime modulus method and runs twice as slow at best. The application of Sturm's theorem necessitates usage of an ordered field resulting in large polynomial coefficients.

#### 4. CONCLUSION:

An algorithm was presented for sharing simple integer information on top of secure sum and it is used by the algorithm at all iterations for anonymous ID assignment. The secure sum allowing parties to work out the sum of their individual inputs devoid of disclosing the inputs to each another helps to differentiate the complications of the secure multiparty computation. The usage of Newton identities to a great extent decreases communication overhead and can facilitate the usage of a larger number of slots by means of a consequential reduction in the number of rounds mandatory. Based on the method intended for anonymously sharing simple data is our algorithm relies on and outcomes in techniques for well-organized allocation of complex data. The semi-honest model of privacy preserving data mining was assumed, in which each node will go after the rules of the protocol, however may possibly use the information it spots during the implementation of the protocol to compromise security. By using Sturm's theorem the solution of a polynomial can be kept away at some expense. No algorithm for AIDA can be guaranteed to finitely finish, although there may be tremendous conditions under which

we imagine only that at least sequential communications are necessary in such an algorithm. The expansion of a consequence similar to the Sturm's method over a finite field is an enticing opportunity. The application of Sturm's theorem necessitates usage of an ordered field resulting in large polynomial coefficients. It has been proven that restricted termination cannot be guaranteed for the simpler leader election problem.

#### REFERENCES:

- [1] P.-J. Courtois and P. Semal, "Bounds for transient characteristics of Markov chains with large or infinite state spaces," in *Proc. First Int. Conf. Numerical Solutions of Markov Chains*, Raleigh, NC, Jan. 8–10, 1990 *Numerical Solution of Markov Chains*, W. J. Stewart, Ed. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1991, pp. 413–434.
- [2] C. Clifton, M. Kantarcioglu, J. Vaidya, X. Lin, and M. Y. Zhu, "Tools for privacy preserving distributed data mining," *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 28–34, Dec. 2002.
- [3] C. Crépeau, G. Savvides, C. Schaffner, and J. Wullschleger, *Information-Theoretic Conditions for Two-Party Secure Function Evaluation*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2006, vol. 4004, ch. 32, pp. 538–554.

- [4] J. W. Yoon and H. Kim, "A new collision-free pseudonym scheme in mobile ad hoc networks," in *Proc. 7th Int. Conf. Modeling and Optimization in Mobile, Ad Hoc, and Wireless Networks (WiOPT'09)*, Piscataway, NJ, 2009, pp. 376–380, IEEE Press.
- [5] F. Baiardi, A. Falleni, R. Granchi, F. Martinelli, M. Petrocchi, and A. Vaccarelli, "Seas, a secure e-voting protocol: Design and implementation," *Comput. Security*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 642–652, Nov. 2005.
- [6] S. Urabe, J. Wang, and T. Takata, "A collusion-resistant approach to distributed privacy-preserving data mining," in *Parallel and Distributed Computing and Systems*, T. Gonzalez, Ed. MIT Cambridge: ACTA Press, Nov. 2004, vol. 436, no. 088, pp. 626–631.
- [7] S. S. Shepard, R. Dong, R. Kresman, and L. Dunning, "Anonymous id assignment and opt-out," in *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, S. Ao and L. Gleman, Eds. New York: Springer, 2010, pp. 420–431.
- [8] D. G. Cantor and H. Zassenhaus, "A new algorithm for factoring polynomials over finite fields," *Math. Computat.*, vol. 36, no. 154, pp. 587–592, May 1981
- [9] White Paper—The Essential Guide to Web Analytics Vendor Selection, IBM [Online]. Available <http://measure.coremetrics.com/corem/getform/reg/wp-evaluation-guide>
- [10] J. Castellà-Roca, V. Daza, J. Domingo-Ferrer, and F. Sebé, "Privacy homomorphisms for e-gambling and mental poker," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Granular Computing*, 2006, pp. 788–791.
- [11] T. P. Pedersen, "A threshold cryptosystem without a trusted party," in *Proc. 10th Ann. Int. Conf. Theory and Application of Cryptographic Techniques (EUROCRYPT'91)*, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1991, pp. 522–526, Springer-Verlag
- [12] D. Jana, A. Chaudhuri, and B. B. Bhaumik, "Privacy and anonymity protection in computational grid services," *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Applicat.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 98–107, Jan. 2009.
- [13] H. Dörrie, "Sturm's problem of the number of roots," in *100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics: Their History and Solutions*. New York: Dover, 1965, pp. 112–116.